arXiv:1401.5434v1 [math.FA] 21 Jan 2014

Multi-variable orthogonal polynomials

Abdallah Dhahri

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences of Tunis
University of Tunis El-Manar
1060 Tunis,Tunisia
abdallah.dhahri@fst.rnu.tn

Abstract

We characterize the atomic probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which having a finite number of atoms. We further prove that the Jacobi sequences associated to the multiple Hermite (resp. Laguerre, resp. Jacobi) orthogonal polynomials are diagonal matrices. Finally, as a consequence of the multiple Jacobi orthogonal polynomials case, we give the Jacobi sequences of the Gegenbauer, Chebyshev and Legendre orthogonal polynomials.

1 Introduction

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with finite moments of all orders. Apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process to the sequence $\{1, x^2, \ldots, x^n, \ldots\}$ to get a sequence $\{P_n(x);\ \ n = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ of orthogonal polynomials in $L^2(\mu)$, where $P_0(x) = 1$ and $P_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient 1. It is well-known that these polynomials P_n's satisfy the recursion formula:

$$(x - \alpha_n)P_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) + \omega_n P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

where $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}, \omega_n \geq 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $P_{-1} = 0$ by convention. The sequences $(\alpha_n)_n$ and $(w_n)_n$ are called the Jacobi sequences associated to the probability measure μ (cf [8], [10], [13]).

In the multi-dimensional case (cf [9],[11], [12],[14]) the formulations of these results are recently given by identifying the theory of multi-dimensional orthogonal polynomials with the theory of symmetric interacting Fock spaces (cf [1]). The multi-dimensional analogue of positive numbers w_n (resp. real numbers α_n) are the positive definite matrices (resp. Hermitean matrices).

In this paper, we characterize the atomic probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which having a finite number of atoms. Moreover, we give the Jacobi sequences associated to the multiple Hermite (resp. Laguerre, resp. Jacobi) orthogonal polynomials and we prove that they are diagonal matrices. As a corollary of the Jacobi case, we give the explicit forms of the ones associated to the Gegenbaur, Chebyshev and Legendre orthogonal polynomials.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the basic properties of the complex polynomial algebra in d commuting indeterminates and we give the multi-dimensional Favard Lemma. The characterization of the atomic probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which having a finite number of atoms is given in section 3. Finally, in section 4 we give the explicit forms of the Jacobi sequences associated to the multiple Hermite (resp. Laguerre, resp. Jacobi) orthogonal polynomials.

2 The multi-dimensional Favard Lemma

In this section we recall the basic properties of the polynomial algebra in d commuting indeterminates and we give the multi-dimensional Favard Lemma. We refer the interested reader to [1] for more details.

2.1 The polynomial algebra in d commuting indeterminates

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}[(X_j)_{1\leq j\leq d}]$$

be the complex polynomial algebra in the commuting indeterminates $(X_j)_{1\leq j\leq d}$ with the $*$-structure uniquely determined by the prescription that the X_j are self-adjoint. For all $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ denote

$$X_v := \sum_{j=1}^{d} v_j X_j$$

A *monomial* of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is by definition any product of the form

$$M := \prod_{j=1}^{d} X_j^{n_j}$$

where, for any $1 \leq j \leq d$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1 + \ldots + n_d = n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n]}$ the vector subspace of $\mathcal{P}$ generated by the set of monomials of degree less or equal than n. It is clear that

$$\mathcal{P} = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_{n]}$$

Definition 1 *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that a subspace $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n]}$ is monic of degree n if*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n]} = \mathcal{P}_{n-1]} \dot{+} \mathcal{P}_n$$

(with the convention $\mathcal{P}_{-1]} = \{0\}$ and where $\dot{+}$ means a vector space direct sum) and $\mathcal{P}_n$ has a linear basis $\mathcal{B}_n$ with the property that for each $b \in \mathcal{B}_n$, the highest order term of b is a non-zero multiple of a monomial of degree n. Such a basis is called a perturbation of the monomial basis of order n in the coordinates $(X_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$.

Note that any state φ on $\mathcal{P}$ defines a pre-scalar product

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle ., . \rangle_\varphi : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ (a, b) & \mapsto & \langle a, b \rangle_\varphi = \varphi(a^* b) \end{array}$$

with $\langle 1_\mathcal{P}, 1_\mathcal{P} \rangle_\varphi = 1$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let φ be a state on $\mathcal{P}$ and denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\varphi$ be the associated pre-scalar product. Then there exists a gradation*

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{P}_{n,\varphi}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{n,\varphi}) \tag{1}$$

called a φ-orthogonal polynomial decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$, with the following properties:

(i) (1) is orthogonal for the unique pre-scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{P}$ defined by the conditions:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathcal{P}_n,\varphi} & = & \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{n,\varphi}, & \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathcal{P}_{m,\varphi} & \perp & \mathcal{P}_{n,\varphi}, & \forall m \neq n \end{array}$$

(ii) (1) is compatible with the filtration $(\mathcal{P}_{n]})_n$ in the sense that

$$\mathcal{P}_{n]} = \bigoplus_{h=0}^{n} \mathcal{P}_{h,\varphi}, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

(iii) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the space $\mathcal{P}_{n,\varphi}$ is monic.

Conversely, let be given:

(j) a vector space direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$

$$\mathcal{P} = \dot{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{P}_n \tag{2}$$

such that $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathbb{C}.1_\mathcal{P}$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P}_n$ is monic of degree n,

(jj) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a pre-scalar product $\langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ with the property that $1_{\mathcal{P}}$ has norm 1 and the unique pre-scalar product $\langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle$ on $\mathcal{P}$ defined by the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle|_{\mathcal{P}_n} &= \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_n, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mathcal{P}_m &\perp \mathcal{P}_n, \qquad \forall m \neq n \end{aligned}$$

satisfies $\langle 1_{\mathcal{P}}, 1_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle = 1$ and multiplication by the coordinates X_j $(1 \leq j \leq d)$ are $\langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle$-symmetric linear operators on $\mathcal{P}$.

Then there exists a state φ on $\mathcal{P}$ such that the decomposition (2) is the orthogonal polynomial decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to φ.

2.2 The symmetric Jacobi relations and the CAP operators

In the following we fix a state φ on $\mathcal{P}$ and we follow the notations of Lemma 2.1 with the exception that we omit the index φ. We write $\langle .,. \rangle$ for the pre-scalar product $\langle .,. \rangle_\varphi$, $\mathcal{P}_k$ for the space $\mathcal{P}_{k,\varphi}$ and $P_{k]} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}_{k]}$ the $\langle .,. \rangle$-orthogonal projector in the pre-Hilbert space sense (see [1] for more details). Put

$$P_n = P_{n]} - P_{n-1]}$$

It is obvious that $P_n = P_n^*$ and $P_n P_m = \delta_{nm} P_n$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is proved in [1] that for any $1 \leq j \leq d$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$X_j P_n = P_{n+1} X_j P_n + P_n X_j P_n + P_{n-1} X_j P_n \tag{3}$$

with the convention that $P_{-1]} = 0$. The identity (3) is called the *symmetric Jacobi relation.*

Now for each $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the operators $a^\varepsilon_{j|n}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, 0, -\}$, with respect to a basis $e = (e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ of $\mathbb{C}^d$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a^+_{j|n} &= a^+_{e_j|n} := P_{n+1} X_j P_n \Big|_{\mathcal{P}_n} : \mathcal{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \\ a^0_{j|n} &= a^0_{e_j|n} := P_n X_j P_n \Big|_{\mathcal{P}_n} : \mathcal{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n \\ a^-_{j|n} &= a^-_{e_j|n} := P_{n-1} X_j P_n \Big|_{\mathcal{P}_n} : \mathcal{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Notation: If $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_d$ are the coordinates of v in the basis e, we denote

$$a^\varepsilon_{v|n} := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} v_j a^\varepsilon_{j|n}$$

Note that in this context, the sum

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n \tag{5}$$

is orthogonal and meant in the weak sense, i.e. for each element $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ there is a finite set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Q = \sum_{n\in I} p_n \ , \qquad p_n \in \mathcal{P}_n \tag{6}$$

Theorem 2.2 *On $\mathcal{P}$, for any $1 \leq j \leq d$, the following operators are well defined*

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^+ &:= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_{j|n}^+ \\ a_j^0 &:= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_{j|n}^0 \\ a_j^- &:= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_{j|n}^- \end{aligned}$$

and one has

$$X_j = a_j^+ + a_j^0 + a_j^- \tag{7}$$

in the sense that both sides of (7) are well defined on $\mathcal{P}$ and the equality holds.

Identity (7) is called a *quantum decomposition* of the variable X_j.

Proposition 2.3 *For any $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{j|n}^+)^* &= a_{j|n+1}^- \qquad ; \qquad (a_j^+)^* = a_j^- \\ (a_{j|n}^0)^* &= a_{j|n}^0 \qquad ; \qquad (a_j^0)^* = a_j^0 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for each $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, one has

$$[a_j^+, a_k^+] = 0$$

2.3 3-diagonal decompositions of $\mathcal{P}$ and multi-dimensional Favard Lemma

Definition 2 *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a 3–diagonal decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_{n]}$*

$$\left\{ (\mathcal{P}_k \ , \ \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_k)_{k=0}^n \ , \ \left(a_{\cdot|k}^+\right)_{k=0}^{n-1} \ , \ \left(a_{\cdot|k}^0\right)_{k=0}^n \right\}$$

is defined by:

(i) a vector space direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_{n]}$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{k]} = \dot{\sum_{h\in\{0,\dots,k\}}} \mathcal{P}_h \qquad ; \qquad \forall k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\} \tag{8}$$

where each $\mathcal{P}_k$ is monic.

(ii) for each $k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}$ a pre-scalar product $\langle\ \cdot\ ,\ \cdot\ \rangle_k$ on $\mathcal{P}_k$.

(iii) two families of linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} v \in \mathbb{C}^d \ &\longmapsto\ a^+_{v|k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{P}_{k+1}) \qquad , \qquad k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n-1\} \\ v \in \mathbb{C}^d \ &\longmapsto\ a^0_{v|k} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_k, \mathcal{P}_k) \qquad , \qquad k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\} \end{aligned}$$

such that:

- *for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a^+_{v|k}$ maps the $(\mathcal{P}_k, \langle .,. \rangle_k)$-zero norm subspace into the $(\mathcal{P}_{k+1}, \langle .,. \rangle_{k+1})$-zero norm subspace;*

- *for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a^0_{v|k}$ is a self-adjoint operator on the pre-Hilbert space $(\mathcal{P}_k\ ,\ \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_k)$, thus in particular it maps $(\mathcal{P}_k, \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_k)$-zero norm subspace into itself;*

- *denoting $*$ (when no confusion is possible) the adjoint of a linear map from $(\mathcal{P}_{k-1}\ ,\ \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_{k-1})$ to $(\mathcal{P}_k\ ,\ \langle\ \cdot,\cdot\ \rangle_k)$ for any $k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}$, and defining*

$$a^-_{v|k} := (a^+_{v|k-1})^* \ ; \qquad a^+_{v|-1} := 0 \ ; \qquad k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n-1\}\ ,\ v \in \mathbb{C}^d$$

the following identity is satisfied:

$$X_v\Big|_{\mathcal{P}_k} = a^+_{v|k} + a^0_{v|k} + a^-_{v|k} \qquad ; \qquad k \in \{0,1,\cdots,n-1\}\ ,\ v \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Remarks: For the following remarks we refer to [1].

(i) Any 3-diagonal decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_{n]}$ induces, by restriction, a 3-diagonal decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_{k]}$ for any $k \leq n$.

(ii) By definition

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \{a^+_{v|n}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1});\ v \in \mathbb{C}^d\}$$

Theorem 2.4 *The 3-diagonal decompositions of $\mathcal{P}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the pre-scalar products on $\mathcal{P}$ induced by some state φ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

In the following $\otimes$ will denote the algebraic tensor product and $\hat{\otimes}$ its symmetrization. The tensor algebra over $\mathbb{C}^d$ is the vector space

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{C}^d) := \dot{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}} (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$$

with multiplication given by

$$(u_n \otimes \ldots \otimes u_1) \otimes (v_n \otimes \ldots \otimes v_1) := u_n \otimes \ldots \otimes u_1 \otimes v_n \otimes \ldots \otimes v_1$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $u_j, v_j \in \mathbb{C}^d$. The $*$-sub-algebra of $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ generated by the elements of the form

$$v^{\otimes n} := v \otimes \ldots \otimes v \, (n - times), \, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \, \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^d$$

is called the *symmetric tensor algebra* over $\mathbb{C}^d$ and denoted $\mathcal{T}_{sym}(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

Lemma 2.5 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the $n-th$ space of a 3-diagonal decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$. Denoting, for $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $a_v^+ := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_{v|k}^+$ and $\Phi = 1_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then the map*

$$U_n : \; v_n \hat{\otimes} v_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} v_1 \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n} \;\; \longmapsto \;\; a_{v_n}^+ a_{v_{n-1}}^+ \cdots a_{v_1}^+ \Phi \in \mathcal{P}_n, \tag{9}$$

extends uniquely to a vector space isomorphism with the property that for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\xi_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes}(n-1)}$

$$U_n(v \hat{\otimes} \xi_{n-1}) = a_v^+ U_{n-1} \xi_{n-1}$$

For $n = 0$ we put

$$U_0 : z \in \mathbb{C} := (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} 0} \longmapsto U_0(z) := z \in \mathbb{C} 1_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{P}_0$$

The multi-dimensional Favard Lemma is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite moments of all orders and denote φ the state on $\mathcal{P}$ given by*

$$\varphi(b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b(x_1, \ldots, x_d) d\mu(x_1, \ldots, x_d), \; b \in \mathcal{P}$$

Then there exist two sequences

$$(\Omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \qquad ; \qquad (\alpha_{\cdot|n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

satisfying:

(i) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \Omega_n$ is a linear operator on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ positive and symmetric with respect to the tensor scalar product given by

$$\langle u^{\otimes n}, v^{\otimes m} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}} := \delta_{m,n} \langle u, v \rangle^n_{\mathbb{C}^d}; \ \ \forall u, v \in \mathbb{C}^d; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\langle \ \cdot, \cdot \ \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}$ is a pre-scalar product on $\mathbb{C}^d$.

(ii) denoting for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\langle \xi_n, \eta_n \rangle_n := \langle \xi_n, \Omega_n \eta_n \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}}; \ \ \xi_n, \eta_n \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n} \tag{10}$$

the pre-scalar product on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$ defined by Ω_n and $| \ \cdot, \cdot \ |_n$ the associated pre-norm. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\eta_{n-1} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes}(n-1)}$, one has

$$|\eta_{n-1}|_{n-1} = 0 \Rightarrow |v \hat{\otimes} \eta_{n-1}|_n = 0 \tag{11}$$

(iii) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\alpha_{.|n} \ : \ v \in \mathbb{C}^d \ \rightarrow \ \alpha_{v|n} \in \mathcal{L}\Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n} \Big)$$

is a linear map and for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\alpha_{v|n}$ is a linear operator on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$, symmetric for the pre-scalar product $\langle \ \cdot, \cdot \ \rangle_n$ on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n}$;

(iv) the sequence Ω_n defines a symmetric interacting Fock space struture over $\mathbb{C}^d$ endowed with the tensor pre-scalar product (10) and the operator

$$U := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} U_k : \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} k}, \ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k \Big) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{P}_k, \ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{P}_k}) = (\mathcal{P}, \ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \tag{12}$$

is an orthogonal gradation preserving unitary isomorphism of pre-Hilbert spaces, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{P}_k}$ is the pre-scalar product induced by φ on $\mathcal{P}_k$.

Moreover, denoting

$$\Gamma \left(\mathbb{C}^d, \ (\Omega_n)_n \right) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\hat{\otimes} n} \ , \ \langle \ \cdot, \cdot \ \rangle_n \Big) \tag{13}$$

the symmetric interacting Fock space defined by the sequence $(\Omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $A^{\pm}$ the creation and annihilation fields associated to it, $P_{\Gamma,n}$ the projection onto the $n-th$ space of the gradation (13), and N the number operator associated to this gradation i.e.

$$N := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n P_{\Gamma,n},$$

the gradation preserving unitary pre-Hilbert space isomorphism (12) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} U\Phi &= 1_{\mathcal{P}} \\ U^{-1} X_v U &= A^+_v + \alpha_{v,N} + A^-_v, \ \ \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{v,N}$ is the symmetric operator defined by

$$\alpha_{v,N} := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_{v|n} P_{\Gamma,n}.$$

Conversely, given two sequences $(\Omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\alpha_{\cdot|n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) above, there exists a state φ on $\mathcal{P}$, such that for any probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, inducing the state φ on $\mathcal{P}$, the pair of sequences
$\big((\Omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},\ (\alpha_{\cdot|n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big)$ is the one associated to μ according to the first part of the theorem.

Remark:

1) From the proof of the above theorem (cf [1]) one has

$$\alpha_{\cdot|n} = U_n^{-1} a^0_{\cdot|n} U_n \tag{14}$$

2) from (11), it follows that if there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\Omega_{n_0} = 0$, then

$$\Omega_n = 0, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Definition 3 *The sequences $(\Omega_n)_n$ and $(\alpha_{\cdot|n})_n$ in Theorem 2.6 are called Jacobi sequences associated to the probability measure μ.*

3 Positive Jacobi sequence and atomic probability measure

Recall that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the positive matrix $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n})$.

Proposition 3.1 *If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $rank(\Omega_{n_0}) < dim\Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}\Big)$, then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, rank(\Omega_{n_0+k}) < dim\Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0+k}\Big)$.*

Proof Suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that rank $(\Omega_{n_0}) < dim\Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}\Big)$ i.e. Ω_{n_0} is not injective. Let $\xi_{n_0} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}, \xi_{n_0} \neq 0_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}}$ such that $\Omega_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0}) = 0$, then for all $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$, for all arbitrary $\eta_{n_0+1} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0+1}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \eta_{n_0+1}, \Omega_{n_0+1}(v\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0})\rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0+1}} &= \langle U_{n_0+1}(\eta_{n_0+1}), U_{n_0+1}(v\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0})\rangle_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0+1}} \\
&= \langle U_{n_0+1}(\eta_{n_0+1}), a_v^+ U_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})\rangle_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0+1}} \\
&= \langle a_v^- U_{n_0+1}(\eta_{n_0+1}), U_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})\rangle_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0}} \\
&\leq |a_v^- U_{n_0+1}(\eta_{n_0+1})|_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0}} |U_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})|_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0}}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\Omega_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})=0$ i.e. $\langle \xi_{n_0}, \Omega_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})\rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}} = |U_{n_0}(\xi_{n_0})|^2_{\mathcal{P}_{n_0}} = 0$, one has

$$\Omega_{n_0+1}(v\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0})=0, \quad \forall v\in\mathbb{C}^d$$

It follows by induction on $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\Omega_{n_0+k}(v^{\widehat{\otimes} k}\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0})=0, \quad \forall v\in\mathbb{C}^d, \forall k\in\mathbb{N}$$

If $v\neq 0_{\mathbb{C}^d}$, because $\xi_{n_0}\neq 0_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}}$, one gets

$$v^{\widehat{\otimes} k}\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0}\subset Ker(\Omega_{n_0+k}), \quad \forall k\in\mathbb{N}$$

and

$$v^{\widehat{\otimes} k}\widehat{\otimes}\xi_{n_0}\neq 0_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0+k}}$$

Hence, Ω_{n_0+k} $(k\in\mathbb{N})$ is not injective i.e. $rank(\Omega_{n_0+k}) < dim\Big((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0+k}\Big)$. □

Now, our aim is to give a characterization of the atomic probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which have a finite number of atoms.

A common zero of a set of polynomials is a zero for every polynomial in the set. Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathbb{P}_n=\{P^n_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ, where $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_d)\in\mathbb{N}^d$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_d=n$. A common zero of $\mathbb{P}_n$ is a zeros of every P^n_α. Clearly we can consider zeros of $\mathbb{P}_n$ as zeros of the subspace $\mathcal{P}_n$. For the following lemma we refer the reader to [15].

Lemma 3.2 *The polynomials in $\mathbb{P}_n$ have at most $dim\mathcal{P}_{n-1]}$ common zeros.*

Definition 4 *Given a measurable space (X,Σ) and a measure μ on that space, a set A in Σ is called an atom if $\mu(A)>0$ and for any measurable subset B of A with $\mu(A)>\mu(B)$, one has $\mu(B)=0$.*

Definition 5 *Given a measurable space (X,Σ) and a measure μ on that space. μ is said an atomic if there is a partition of X into countably many elements of Σ which are either atoms or null sets.*

Remark If μ is a σ-finite probability measure on the Borel $\sigma-$algebra of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then it is easy to show that, for any atom B of μ there is a point $x\in B$ with the property that $\mu(B)=\mu(\{x\})$. Thus such a measure is atomic if and only if it is the countable sum of Dirac deltas, i.e. if there is an (at most) countable set $\{x_i\}\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and an (at most) countable set $\{a_i\}\subset]0,\infty[$ with the property that

$$\mu(A)=\sum_{x_i\in A} a_i \quad for\ every\ Borel\ set\ A.$$

i.e. $\mu=\sum_i a_i\delta_{x_i}$, with $\sum_i a_i=1$.

Theorem 3.3 *There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that the matrices Ω_n are zero for $n \geq n_0$ of and only if the associated probability measure is atomic and having a finite number of atoms.*

Proof Let μ a probability measure with finite moments of any order and suppose that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that the matrices $\Omega_{n_0} = 0$. It follows that for all $\xi_{n_0} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}$

$$0 = \langle \xi_{n_0}, \Omega_{n_0} \xi_{n_0} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}} = \langle U_{n_0} \xi_{n_0}, U_{n_0} \xi_{n_0} \rangle_\mu.$$

Since $U_{n_0} \in Isom((\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n_0}, \mathcal{P}_{n_0})$ and $\Omega_{n_0} = 0$, then one has

$$\langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle_\mu = 0, \ \ \forall Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n_0}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Q(x)|^2 \mu(dx) = 0, \ \ \forall Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n_0}.$$

Thus for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{n_0}$, one has

$$Q = 0 \ \mu.a.s. \ \ i.e. \ \ \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \ \ Q(x) = 0\}) = 1.$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{n_0} = \{P_\alpha^{n_0}\}_{|\alpha|=n_0}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{P}_{n_0}$. Put

$$\Delta_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \ \ P_\alpha^{n_0}(x) = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{n_0} = \cap_{|\alpha|=n_0} \Delta_\alpha.$$

It is clear that for any α such that $|\alpha| = n_0$, $\mu(\Delta_\alpha) = 1$. Moreover, one has $\mu(\mathcal{D}_{n_0}) = 1$ because

$$\mu(\mathcal{D}_{n_0}^c) = \mu(\cup_{|\alpha|=n_0} \Delta_\alpha^c) \leq \sum_{|\alpha|=n_0} \mu(\Delta_\alpha^c) = 0.$$

Thus, one gets

$$\mathcal{D}_{n_0} \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{D}_{n_0}$ is a finite set of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{n_0}$ is of the form $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ with I is a finite set. Clearly, one has $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} a_i \delta_{x_i}$ with $\sum_{i \in I} a_i = 1$, where $a_i = \mu(\{x_i\}), \ \ i \in I$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{a_i}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $a_i \neq a_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Put

$$\Lambda_n = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N}; \ \ 1 \leq k \leq \begin{pmatrix} n+d-1 \\ d-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let

$$\left(P_{k,h}\right)_{\substack{0\leq k\leq n\\ h\in\Lambda_n}}$$

be an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{P}_{n]}$ with respect to the pre-scalar product on $\mathcal{P}$ induced by μ:

$$\langle P,Q\rangle_\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i\overline{P}(a_i)Q(a_i),\quad P,Q\in\mathcal{P},$$

with this notation, each $P_{k,h}$ is a polynomial of degree k.

Now, define the scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as follows

$$\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}v_1\\ \vdots\\ v_n\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}w_1\\ \vdots\\ w_n\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i\overline{v}_iw_i.$$

Therefore, one has

$$0=\left\langle P_{k_1,h_1},P_{k_2,h_2}\right\rangle_\mu=\left\langle\begin{pmatrix}P_{k_1,h_1}(a_1)\\ \vdots\\ P_{k_1,h_1}(a_n)\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}P_{k_2,h_2}(a_1)\\ \vdots\\ P_{k_2,h_2}(a_n)\end{pmatrix}\right\rangle. \tag{15}$$

for all $0\leq k_1,k_2\leq n$ and all $h_1\neq h_2$ with $h_1\in\Lambda_{k_1}$ and $h_2\in\Lambda_{k_2}$.

- First case : if for all $k\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}$ there exists $l_k\in\Lambda_k$ such that $P_{k,l_k}(a_{i_k})\neq 0$ for some $i_k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Then, one has

$$\begin{pmatrix}P_{k,l_k}(a_1)\\ \vdots\\ P_{k,l_k}(a_{i_k})\\ \vdots\\ P_{k,l_k}(a_n)\end{pmatrix}\neq\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

  Consider, now the family $\mathfrak{F}=\left\{P_{0,l_0},\ldots,P_{n-1,l_{n-1}}\right\}$. It is clear that $card(\mathfrak{F})=n$. Put

$$v_m=\begin{pmatrix}P_{m,l_m}(a_1)\\ \vdots\\ P_{m,l_m}(a_{i_m})\\ \vdots\\ P_{m,l_m}(a_n)\end{pmatrix},\quad m\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}.$$

It is clear that $v_m \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and

$$\langle v_m, v_r \rangle = 0, \quad \forall m \neq r.$$

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{n,h}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n,h}(a_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall h \in \Lambda_n.$$

Moreover, from (15) for all $h \in \Lambda_n$, one has

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} P_{n,h}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n,h}(a_n) \end{pmatrix}, v_j \right\rangle = 0 \ \ \forall j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}.$$

It follows that $\begin{pmatrix} P_{n,h}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ P_{n,h}(a_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall h \in \Lambda_n.$

This gives

$$P_{n,h}(a_i) = 0, \ \forall h \in \Lambda_n, \ \forall i \in \{1.2, \ldots, n\}.$$

Therefore, one gets

$$\langle \ P_{n,h}, P_{n,l} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall h, l \in \Lambda_n.$$

which proves that $\Omega_n = 0$ and therefore $\Omega_m = 0$, for all $m \geq n$.

- Second case : if there exists $k_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, such that for all $l \in \Lambda_{k_0}$

$$P_{k_0,l}(a_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

then, one has

$$\langle \ P_{k_0,h}, P_{k_0,l} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall h, l \in \Lambda_{k_0},$$

which implies that

$$\Omega_{k_0} = 0.$$

and therefore

$$\Omega_k = 0, \quad \forall k \geq k_0.$$

□

4 Multi-variable orthogonal polynomials

In the following our purpose is to give the explicit forms of the Jacobi sequences $(\alpha_{.|n}, \Omega_n)_n$ in the case of Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials.

Define the binaire relation $\mathcal{R}$ on $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}^n$ by

$$(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)\mathcal{R}(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)$$

if and only if

$$\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\} = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n\}$$

and

$$\sharp\Big(\{i_k = l,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}\Big) = \sharp\Big(\{j_k = l,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}\Big)$$

for all $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$. $\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation on $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}^n$ (cf[7] for more details). For all $1 \leq l \leq d$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} m_l &= \sharp(\{i_k = l,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}). \\ n_l &= \sharp(\{j_k = l,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}). \\ \mathcal{A}_n : &= \Big\{\overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)\Big).\Big\} \\ e_{\overline{j}_n} : &= e_{j_1}\widehat{\otimes}e_{j_2}\widehat{\otimes}\ldots\widehat{\otimes}e_{j_n}. \end{aligned}$$

where $(e_i)_{1\leq i\leq d}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^d$. It is clear that $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{j}_n})_{\overline{j}_n\in\mathcal{A}_n}$ is a basis of $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$. Moreover, in this basis the positive definite Jacobi sequence is of form $\Omega_n = (\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n})_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n\in\mathcal{A}_n}$.

4.1 Basic Notations

Let us introduce the following notations :

(1) If $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we denote for all $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_d \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_d} &= (\beta_1 + r_1, \beta_2 + r_2, \ldots, \beta_d + r_d) \\ \beta_{0,0,\ldots,0} &= \beta \\ \widetilde{0}_{r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_d} &= (0 + r_1, 0 + r_2, \ldots, 0 + r_d) \\ \widetilde{0} &= 0_{\mathbb{R}^d} \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta| &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \ldots + \beta_d \\ \beta! &= \beta_1!\beta_2!\ldots\beta_d! \\ x^\beta &= x_1^{\beta_1}x_2^{\beta_2}\ldots x_d^{\beta_d} \\ |x|_1 &= |x_1| + |x_2| + \ldots + |x_d| \\ \|x\|_2 &= \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_d^2} \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Multiple Hermite polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^d$

The multiple Hermite polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined by $H_\alpha = H_{\alpha_1} \otimes H_{\alpha_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes H_{\alpha_d}$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d;\ |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_d = n$ and for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, H_{α_i} is the classical Hermite polynomial of one variable. For the following relation we refer the reader to [15].

$$\frac{d}{dx_i} H_{\alpha_i}(x_i) = 2\alpha_i H_{\alpha_i - 1}(x_i). \tag{16}$$

$$x_i H_{\alpha_i}(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha_i + 1}(x_i) + \alpha_i H_{\alpha_i - 1}(x_i). \tag{17}$$

$$\|H_{\alpha_i}\|^2 = 2^{\alpha_i} \alpha_i! \sqrt{\pi}.$$

It is clear that the multiple Hermite polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are orthogonal with respect to the classical weight function

$$W^H(x) = e^{-\|x\|_2^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, the family $(H_\alpha)_{|\alpha|=n}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$ with respect to μ, where μ is the measure of density W^H with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d;\ |\alpha| = n$, one has

$$H_\alpha(x) = H_{\alpha_1}(x_1) H_{\alpha_2}(x_2) \ldots H_{\alpha_d}(x_d),\ \forall x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{18}$$

Multiplying both sides in (18) by x_i and using (17) one gets

$$x_i H_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2} H_{(\alpha_1, .., \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i + 1, \alpha_{i+1}, ..., \alpha_d)}(x) + \alpha_i H_{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i - 1, \alpha_{i+1}, ..., \alpha_d)}(x).$$

From the above notations, it follows that

$$X_i H_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}} + \alpha_i H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}} \tag{19}$$

where 1 and -1 are in the $i - th$ index. Note that

$$\|H_\alpha\|^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \|H_{\alpha_i}\|^2 = 2^n \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \alpha! \tag{20}$$

Now, consider the orthogonal projector from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}_n$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n : &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2} |H_\alpha\rangle\langle H_\alpha|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\ P_{-1} : &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, define the CAP operators as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
a^+_{i|n} : &= P_{n+1} X_i P_n \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2 \|H_\beta\|^2} |H_\beta\rangle\langle H_\beta | X_i | H_\alpha\rangle\langle H_\alpha| \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2 \|H_\beta\|^2} \langle H_\beta, X_i H_\alpha\rangle_\mu |H_\beta\rangle\langle H_\alpha|. \\
a^0_{i|n} : &= P_n X_i P_n \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2 \|H_\beta\|^2} \langle H_\beta, X_i H_\alpha\rangle_\mu |H_\beta\rangle\langle H_\alpha|. \\
a^-_{i|n} : &= P_{n-1} X_i P_n, \quad n \geq 1 \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n-1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2 \|H_\beta\|^2} \langle H_\beta, X_i H_\alpha\rangle_\mu |H_\beta\rangle\langle H_\alpha|.
\end{aligned}$$

From (19), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
a^+_{i|n} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|H_\alpha\|^2} |H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle H_\alpha| \\
a^0_{i|n} &= 0 \\
a^-_{i|n} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{\alpha_i}{\|H_\alpha\|^2} |H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle H_\alpha|, \quad n \geq 1 \qquad (a^-_{i|0} := 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|\alpha| = n$ and $|\beta| = n + 1$, one has

$$a^+_{i|n} H_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}. \tag{21}$$

$$a^-_{i|n+1} H_\beta = \beta_i H_{\beta_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}}. \tag{22}$$

where 1 and -1 are in the $i - th$ index. Now, for all $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, put

$$a^+_k = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a^+_{k|n}.$$

Lemma 4.1 *For all $1 \leq k \leq d, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ such that $|\alpha| = n$, one has :*

$$(a^+_k)^m H_\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0}} \tag{23}$$

where

$$\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}, (\alpha_k + m), \alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_d).$$

Proof We prove the above lemma by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

- For $m = 1$, one has

$$a_k^+ H_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}$$

- Let $m \geq 1$ and suppose that (26) holds true. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_k^+)^{m+1} H_\alpha &= a_k^+ (a_k^+)^m H_\alpha \\
&= \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^m a_k^+ H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0}} \\
&= \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^m \frac{1}{2} H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m+1,0,\ldots,0}} \\
&= \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{m+1} H_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m+1,0,\ldots,0}}
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

Theorem 4.2 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has*

$$\alpha_{.|n} \equiv 0$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} = \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{|\overline{n}|} \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \overline{n}!$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{i}_n &= cl\Big((i_1, \ldots, i_n)\Big) \\
\overline{j}_n &= cl\Big((j_1, \ldots, j_n)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \Big), (1 \leq l \leq d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d).$$

Proof Because $a^0_{.|n} = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then one has

$$\alpha_{.|n} = U_n^{-1} a^0_{.|n} U_n = 0.$$

Now, recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} &= \langle e_{\overline{i}_n}, \Omega_n e_{\overline{j}_n} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}} \\
&= \langle e_{i_1} \widehat{\otimes} e_{i_2} \widehat{\otimes} \ldots \widehat{\otimes} e_{i_n}, \Omega_n e_{j_1} \widehat{\otimes} e_{j_2} \widehat{\otimes} \ldots \widehat{\otimes} e_{j_n} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}} \\
&= \langle a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \ldots a_{i_n}^+ \Phi, a_{j_1}^+ a_{j_2}^+ \ldots a_{j_n}^+ \Phi \rangle_\mu \\
&= \langle (a_1^+)^{m_1} (a_2^+)^{m_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{m_d} \Phi, (a_1^+)^{n_1} (a_2^+)^{n_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d} \Phi \rangle_\mu
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = 1_{\mathcal{P}} = H_{\widetilde{0}}$, $\widetilde{0} = 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $m_l = \sharp\{i_k = l,\ k = 1, \ldots, n\}, n_l = \sharp\{j_k = l,\ k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq d$. Then, from Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{d-1}^+)^{n_{d-1}}(a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_d}(a_{d-1}^+)^{n_{d-1}}H_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_d}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n_{d-1}+n_d}H_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_{d-1},n_d}}.\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above argument until to obtain

$$(a_1^+)^{n_1}\ldots(a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\overline{n}|}H_{\overline{n}}.$$

where $\overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d)$.

(i) If $\overline{i}_n = \overline{j}_n$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= \langle(a_1^+)^{m_1}(a_2^+)^{m_2}\ldots(a_d^+)^{m_d}\Phi, (a_1^+)^{n_1}(a_2^+)^{n_2}\ldots(a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi\rangle_\mu \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2|\overline{n}|}\|H_{\overline{n}}\|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2|\overline{n}|}2^{|\overline{n}|}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\overline{n}! \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\overline{n}|}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\overline{n}!\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\overline{i}_n \neq \overline{j}_n$, then, $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or there exists $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ such that $m_l \neq n_l$.

- First case : if $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$, then there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ and $l \notin \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or the converse. Without loss of generality suppose that $l = 1$ i.e. $m_1 \neq 0$ and $n_1 = 0$. Therefore, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(|\overline{m}|+|\overline{n}|)}\langle H_{\overline{m}}, H_{\overline{n}}\rangle_\mu \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

because $H_{\overline{m}}$ and $H_{\overline{n}}$ are orthogonal ($\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$).

- Second case : if there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $m_l \neq n_l$ *i.e.* $\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$, then, one has

$$\langle H_{\overline{m}}, H_{\overline{n}}\rangle_\mu = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} = 0.$$

□

5 Multiple Laguerre polynomials on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$

As in the multiple Hermite polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The multiple Laguerre polynomials on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ with parameter $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d);\ \alpha_j > -1,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ are defined as follows

$$L_k^\alpha = L_{k_1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes L_{k_2}^{\alpha_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes L_{k_d}^{\alpha_d}$$

where, $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|k| = n$ and for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, $L_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}$ is the classical Laguerre polynomials on $\mathbb{R}_+$. For the following relation we refer the reader to [15].

$$x_i L_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) = -(k_i+1)L_{k_i+1}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) + (2k_i+\alpha_i+1)L_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) - (k_i+\alpha_i)L_{k_i-1}^{\alpha_i}(x_i) \tag{24}$$

$$\|L_{k_i}^{\alpha_i}\|^2 = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_i + k_i + 1)}{k_i!}.$$

where Γ is the Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty t^{y-1}e^{-t}dt, \quad \forall y > 0.$$

It is clear that the multiple Laguerre polynomials are orthogonal with respect the weight function

$$W_\alpha^L(x) = x^\alpha e^{-|x|_1},\ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$$

Moreover, the family $(L_k^\alpha)_{|k|=n}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$ with respect to μ, where μ is the measure of density W_α^L with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$. For $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d); |k| = n$, one has

$$L_k^\alpha(x) = L_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1)L_{k_2}^{\alpha_2}(x_2)\ldots L_{k_d}^{\alpha_d}(x_d). \tag{25}$$

Multiplying both sides in (25) by x_i and using (24), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} x_i L_k^\alpha(x) &= -(k_i+1)L^\alpha_{(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1},k_i+1,k_{i+1},\ldots,k_d)}(x) + (2k_i+\alpha_i+1)L_k^\alpha(x) \\ &\quad -(k_i+\alpha_i)L^\alpha_{(k_1,\ldots,k_{i-1}k_i-1,k_{i+1},\ldots,k_d)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

From the above notations, it follows that

$$X_i L_k^\alpha = -(k_i+1)L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}} + (2k_i+\alpha_i+1)L_k^\alpha - (k_i+\alpha_i)L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}} \tag{26}$$

where $-1, 1$ are in the $i-th$ index. Note that

$$\|L_k^\alpha\|^2 = \prod_{j=1}^d \|L_{k_j}^{\alpha_j}\| = \frac{1}{k!}\prod_{j=1}^d \Gamma(k_j + \alpha_j + 1)$$

Now, consider the orthogonal projector from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}_n$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n : &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|L_k^\alpha\|^2} |L_k^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\ P_{-1} : &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, define the CAP operators as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i|n}^+ : &= P_{n+1} X_i P_n \\ &= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |k|=n} \frac{1}{\|L_\beta^\alpha\|^2 \|L_k^\alpha\|^2} |L_\beta^\alpha\rangle\langle L_\beta^\alpha | X_i | L_k^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha| \\ &= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |k|=n} \frac{1}{\|L_\beta^\alpha\|^2 \|L_k^\alpha\|^2} \langle L_\beta^\alpha, X_i L_k^\alpha\rangle_\mu |L_\beta^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha| \\ a_{i|n}^0 : &= P_n X_i P_n \\ &= \sum_{|\beta|=n, |k|=n} \frac{1}{\|L_\beta^\alpha\|^2 \|L_k^\alpha\|^2} \langle L_\beta^\alpha, X_i L_k^\alpha\rangle_\mu |L_\beta^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha| \\ a_{i|n}^- : &= P_{n-1} X_i P_n, \quad n \geq 1 \\ &= \sum_{|\beta|=n-1, |k|=n} \frac{1}{\|L_\beta^\alpha\|^2 \|L_k^\alpha\|^2} \langle L_\beta^\alpha, X_i L_k^\alpha\rangle_\mu |L_\beta^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha|. \end{aligned}$$

From (26), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i|n}^+ &= -\sum_{|k|=n} \frac{(k_i+1)}{\|L_k^\alpha\|^2} |L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha| \\ a_{i|n}^- &= -\sum_{|k|=n} \frac{(k_i+\alpha_i)}{\|L_k^\alpha\|^2} |L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha|, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (a_{i|0}^- := 0) \\ a_{i|n}^0 &= \sum_{|k|=n} \frac{(2k_i+\alpha_i+1)}{\|L_k^\alpha\|^2} |L_k^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $k, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|k| = n$ and $|\beta| = n+1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i|n}^+ L_k^\alpha &= -(k_i+1) L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}} \\ a_{i|n+1}^- L_\beta^\alpha &= -(k_i+\alpha_i) L^\alpha_{\beta_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}} \\ a_{i|n}^0 L_k^\alpha &= (2k_i+\alpha_i+1) L_k^\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $1, -1$ are in the $i-th$ index.

Lemma 5.1 *For all $1 \leq i \leq d, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $k = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|k| = n$, one has*

$$(a_i^+)^m L_k^\alpha = (-1)^m \prod_{p=1}^{m} (k_i + p) L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0}} \tag{28}$$

where

$$k_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0} = (k_1, \ldots, k_{i-1}, k_i + m, k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_d).$$

Proof We prove the above lemma by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

- For $m = 1$, one has
$$a_i^+ L_k^\alpha = -(k_i + 1) L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}$$
- Let $m \geq 1$ and suppose that (28) holds true. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_i^+)^{m+1} L_k^\alpha &= a_i^+ (a_i^+)^m L_k^\alpha \\
&= (-1)^m \prod_{p=1}^{m} (k_i + p) a_i^+ L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0}} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{p=1}^{m} (k_i + p)(k_i + m + 1) L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,m+1,0,\ldots,0}} \\
&= (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{p=1}^{m+1} (k_i + p) L^\alpha_{k_{0,\ldots,0,m+1,0,\ldots,0}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.2 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, \ldots, i_n)\Big), \overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, \ldots, j_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have*

$$\alpha_{e_l|n} e_{\overline{i}_n} = (2n_l + \alpha_l + 1) e_{\overline{i}_n}$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} = \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \overline{n}! \prod_{l=1}^{d} \Gamma(n_l + \alpha_l + 1)$$

where

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \Big), (1 \leq l \leq d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d).$$

Proof Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} &= \langle e_{\overline{i}_n}, \Omega_n e_{\overline{j}_n} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}} \\
&= \langle e_{i_1} \widehat{\otimes} e_{i_2} \widehat{\otimes} \ldots \widehat{\otimes} e_{i_n}, \Omega_n e_{j_1} \widehat{\otimes} e_{j_2} \widehat{\otimes} \ldots \widehat{\otimes} e_{j_n} \rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}} \\
&= \langle a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \ldots a_{i_n}^+ \Phi, a_{j_1}^+ a_{j_2}^+ \ldots a_{j_n}^+ \Phi \rangle_\mu \\
&= \langle (a_1^+)^{m_1} (a_2^+)^{m_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{m_d} \Phi, (a_1^+)^{n_1} (a_2^+)^{n_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d} \Phi \rangle_\mu
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi = 1_{\mathcal{P}} = H_{\widetilde{0}}, \widetilde{0} = 0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $m_l = \sharp\{i_k = l,\ k = 1, \ldots, n\}, n_l = \sharp\{j_k = l,\ k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq d$. On the other hand, from Lemma 5.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{d-1}^+)^{n_{d-1}}(a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi &= (-1)^{n_d} n_d! (a_{d-1}^+)^{n_{d-1}} L^{\alpha}_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_d}} \\ &= (-1)^{n_{d-1}+n_d} n_{d-1}! n_d! L^{\alpha}_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_{d-1},n_d}}.\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above argument until to obtain

$$(a_1^+)^{n_1} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi = (-1)^{|\overline{n}|}\overline{n}! L^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}} \tag{29}$$

where $\overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d)$.

(i) If $\overline{i}_n = \overline{j}_n$, then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= \langle (a_1^+)^{m_1}(a_2^+)^{m_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{m_d}\Phi, (a_1^+)^{n_1}(a_2^+)^{n_2} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d}\Phi\rangle_{\mu}. \\ &= (\overline{n}!)^2 \|L^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}}\|^2 \\ &= \overline{n}! \prod_{l=1}^{d} \Gamma(n_l + \alpha_l + 1)\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\overline{i}_n \neq \overline{j}_n$, then $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or there exists $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ such that $m_l \neq n_l$.

- First case : if $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$, then there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ and $l \notin \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or the converse. Without loss of generality suppose that $l = 1$ i.e. $m_1 \neq 0$ and $n_1 = 0$. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= (-1)^{|\overline{m}|+|\overline{n}|}\overline{m}!\overline{n}!\langle L^{\alpha}_{\overline{m}}, L^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}}\rangle_{\mu} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

because $L^{\alpha}_{\overline{m}}$ and $L^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}}$ are orthogonal ($\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$).

- Second case : if there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $m_l \neq n_l$ *i.e.* $\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$, then, one gets

$$\langle L^{\alpha}_{\overline{m}}, L^{\alpha}_{\overline{n}}\rangle_{\mu} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} = 0.$$

Now, let $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Recall that

$$U_n e_{\overline{i}_n} := a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \ldots a_{i_d}^+ \Phi.$$

Then, from identities (29) and (27), it follows that for all $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{e_l|n} e_{\overline{i}_n} &:= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^0 U_n e_{\overline{i}_n} \\
&= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^0 a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \ldots a_{i_d}^+ \Phi \\
&= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^0 (a_1^+)^{n_1} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d} \Phi \\
&= (-1)^{|\overline{n}|} \overline{n}! U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^0 L_{\overline{n}}^{\alpha} \\
&= (-1)^{|\overline{n}|} \overline{n}! (2n_l + \alpha_l + 1) U_n^{-1} L_{\overline{n}}^{\alpha} \\
&= (2n_l + \alpha_l + 1) U_n^{-1} (a_1^+)^{n_1} \ldots (a_d^+)^{n_d} \Phi \\
&= (2n_l + \alpha_l + 1) U_n^{-1} a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \ldots a_{i_d}^+ \Phi \\
&= (2n_l + \alpha_l + 1) e_{\overline{i}_n}
\end{aligned}$$

where $n_j = \sharp \{i_p = j; \quad p = 1, 2, \ldots, d\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.$

□

6 Multiple Jacobi polynomials on the cube

The multiple Jacobi polynomials on the cube $[-1, 1]^d$ with parameter $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$, $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_d)$; $a_j > -1, b_j > -1, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ are defined as follows

$$P_{\alpha}^{(a,b)} = P_{\alpha_1}^{(a_1,b_1)} \otimes P_{\alpha_2}^{(a_2,b_2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes P_{\alpha_d}^{(a_d,b_d)}.$$

where, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|\alpha| = n$ and for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, $P_{\alpha_i}^{(a_i,b_i)}$ is the classical Jacobi polynomials on $[-1, 1]$. For the following relations we refer the reader to [15].

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i P_{\alpha_i}^{(a_i,b_i)}(x_i) &= \frac{2(\alpha_i + 1)(\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 1)}{(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 1)(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 2)} P_{\alpha_i+1}^{(a_i,b_i)}(x_i) \\
&- \frac{(a_i^2 - b_i^2)}{(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i)(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 2)} P_{\alpha_i}^{(a_i,b_i)}(x_i) \\
&+ \frac{2(\alpha_i + a_i)(\alpha_i + b_i)}{(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i)(2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 1)} P_{\alpha_i-1}^{(a_i,b_i)}(x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|P_{\alpha_i}^{(a_i,b_i)}\|^2 = \frac{2^{b_i+a_i+1} \Gamma(\alpha_i + a_i + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_i + b_i + 1)}{\alpha_i! (2\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 1) \Gamma(\alpha_i + b_i + a_i + 1)}$$

where Γ is the Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{y-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \forall y > 0.$$

It is clear that the multiple Jacobi polynomials on the cube $[-1,1]^d$ are orthogonal with respect the weight function

$$W_{a,b}^J(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-x_j)^{a_j}(1+x_j)^{b_j}, \quad x=(x_1,\ldots,x_d)\in[-1,1]^d.$$

Moreover, the family $(P_\alpha^{(a,b)})_{|\alpha|=n}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{P}_n$ with respect to μ, where μ is the measure of density $W_{a,b}^J$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[-1,1]^d$. For $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_d); |\alpha|=n$, one has

$$P_\alpha^{(a,b)}(x) = P_{\alpha_1}^{(a_1,b_1)}(x_1)P_{\alpha_2}^{(a_2,b_2)}(x_2)\ldots P_{\alpha_d}^{(a_d,b_d)}(x_d). \tag{30}$$

Multiplying both sides in (30) by x_i, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} x_iP_\alpha^{(a,b)}(x) &= \frac{2(\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)}P^{(a,b)}_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_i+1,\alpha_{i+1},\ldots,\alpha_d)}(x)\\ &- \frac{(a_i^2-b_i^2)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)}P_\alpha^{(a,b)}(x)\\ &+ \frac{2(\alpha_i+a_i)(\alpha_i+b_i)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}P^{(a,b)}_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_i-1,\alpha_{i+1},\ldots,\alpha_d)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

From the above Notation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} X_iP_\alpha^{(a,b)} &= \frac{2(\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)}P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,1,0,\ldots,0}}\\ &- \frac{(a_i^2-b_i^2)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)}P_\alpha^{(a,b)}\\ &+ \frac{2(\alpha_i+a_i)(\alpha_i+b_i)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,-1,0,\ldots,0}} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $-1,1$ are in the $i-th$ index. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\alpha^{(a,b)}(x)\|^2 &= \prod_{i=1}^{d}\|P_{\alpha_i}^{(a_i,b_i)}\|^2\\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha!}\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{2^{b_i+a_i+1}\Gamma(\alpha_i+a_i+1)\Gamma(\alpha_i+b_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)\Gamma(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the orthogonal projector from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}_n$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_n : &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n}\frac{1}{\|L_k^\alpha\|^2}|L_k^\alpha\rangle\langle L_k^\alpha|, \quad n\in\mathbb{N}\\ P_{-1} : &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$, define the CAP operators as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a^+_{i|n} : &= P_{n+1} X_i P_n \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\beta\|^2 \|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} |P^{(a,b)}_\beta\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\beta | X_i | P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha| \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n+1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\beta\|^2 \|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} \langle P^{(a,b)}_\beta, X_i P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\rangle_\mu |P^{(a,b)}_\beta\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha| \\
a^0_{i|n} : &= P_n X_i P_n \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\beta\|^2 \|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} \langle P^{(a,b)}_\beta, X_i P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\rangle_\mu |P^{(a,b)}_\beta\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha| \\
a^-_{i|n} : &= P_{n-1} X_i P_n, \quad n \geq 1 \\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=n-1, |\alpha|=n} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\beta\|^2 \|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} \langle P^{(a,b)}_\beta, X_i P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\rangle_\mu |P^{(a,b)}_\beta\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha|.
\end{aligned}$$

From (31), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
a^+_{i|n} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{2(\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} |P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha| \\
a^-_{i|n} &= \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{2(\alpha_i+a_i)(\alpha_i+b_i)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} |P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}}\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha|, \ n \geq 1 \\
&\quad (a^-_{i|0} := 0) \\
a^0_{i|n} &= -\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \frac{(a_i^2-b_i^2)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)} \frac{1}{\|P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\|^2} |P^{(a,b)}_\alpha\rangle\langle P^{(a,b)}_\alpha|
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $|\alpha| = n$ and $|\beta| = n+1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
a^+_{i|n} P^{(a,b)}_\alpha &= \frac{2(\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0}} \\
a^-_{i|n+1} P^{(a,b)}_\beta &= \frac{2(\beta_i+a_i)(\beta_i+b_i)}{(2\beta_i+b_i+a_i)(2\beta_i+b_i+a_i+1)} P^{(a,b)}_{\beta_{0,\ldots,0,-1,0,\ldots,0}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$a^0_{i|n} P^{(a,b)}_\alpha = -\frac{(a_i^2-b_i^2)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_\alpha \tag{32}$$

where $-1, 1$ are in the $i-th$ index.

Lemma 6.1 *For all $1 \leq i \leq d, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ such that $|\alpha| = n$, one has*

$$(a^+_i)^m P^{(a,b)}_\alpha = \prod_{p=0}^{m-1} \frac{2(\alpha_i+p+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\ldots,0,m,0,\ldots,0}} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\alpha_{0,\dots,0,m,0,\dots,0} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i + m, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

Proof We prove the above lemma by induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

- For $m = 1$, one has

$$a_i^+ P_\alpha^{(a,b)} = \frac{2(\alpha_i+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)}{(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0}}$$

- Let $m \geq 1$ and suppose that (33) holds true. Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(a_i^+)^{m+1} P_\alpha^{(a,b)} &= a_i^+ (a_i^+)^m P_\alpha^{(a,b)} \\
&= \prod_{p=0}^{m-1} \frac{2(\alpha_i+p+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+2)} a_i^+ P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\dots,0,m,0,\dots,0}} \\
&= \prod_{p=0}^{m-1} \frac{2(\alpha_i+p+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+2)} \\
&\quad \frac{2(\alpha_i+m+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+m+1)}{(2\alpha_i+2m+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+2m+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\dots,0,m+1,0,\dots,0}} \\
&= \prod_{p=0}^{m} \frac{2(\alpha_i+p+1)(\alpha_i+b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2\alpha_i+2p+b_i+a_i+2)} P^{(a,b)}_{\alpha_{0,\dots,0,m+1,0,\dots,0}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.2 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, \dots, i_n)\Big), \overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, \dots, j_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have*

$$\alpha_{e_l|n} e_{\overline{i}_n} = -\frac{(a_l^2 - b_l^2)}{(2n_l + b_l + a_l)(2n_l + b_l + a_l + 2)} e_{\overline{i}_n}$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} &= \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \frac{2^{|a|+|b|+d}}{\overline{n}!} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{2(p+1)(b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2p+b_i+a_i+2)} \Big)^2 \\
&\quad \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\Gamma(n_j+a_j+1)\Gamma(n_j+b_j+1)}{(2n_j+b_j+a_j+1)\Gamma(n_j+b_j+a_j+1)} \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \dots, n\} \Big), (1 \leq l \leq d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$$

with the convention

$$\prod_{p=0}^{-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2p+b_i+a_i+2)}=1$$

(this convention is used when $n_i=0$).

Proof Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= \langle e_{\overline{i}_n},\Omega_n e_{\overline{j}_n}\rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}}\\ &= \langle e_{i_1}\widehat{\otimes}e_{i_2}\widehat{\otimes}\ldots\widehat{\otimes}e_{i_n},\Omega_n e_{j_1}\widehat{\otimes}e_{j_2}\widehat{\otimes}\ldots\widehat{\otimes}e_{j_n}\rangle_{(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}}\\ &= \langle a^+_{i_1}a^+_{i_2}\ldots a^+_{i_n}\Phi,a^+_{j_1}a^+_{j_2}\ldots a^+_{j_n}\Phi\rangle_\mu\\ &= \langle (a^+_1)^{m_1}(a^+_2)^{m_2}\ldots(a^+_d)^{m_d}\Phi,(a^+_1)^{n_1}(a^+_2)^{n_2}\ldots(a^+_d)^{n_d}\Phi\rangle_\mu\end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi=1_{\mathcal{P}}=H_{\widetilde{0}}$, $\widetilde{0}=0_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $m_l=\sharp\{i_k=l,\ k=1,\ldots,n\}$, $n_l=\sharp\{j_k=l,\ k=1,\ldots,n\}$ for all $1\leq l\leq d$. Then, from Lemma 6.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(a^+_{d-1})^{n_{d-1}}(a^+_d)^{n_d}\Phi &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_d-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_d+a_d+p+1)}{(2p+b_d+a_d+1)(2p+b_d+a_d+2)}(a^+_{d-1})^{n_{d-1}}P^{(a,b)}_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_d}}\\ &= \prod_{p=0}^{n_d-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_d+a_d+p+1)}{(2p+b_d+a_d+1)(2p+b_d+a_d+2)}\\ &\quad\prod_{q=0}^{n_{d-1}-1}\frac{2(q+1)(b_{d-1}+a_{d-1}+q+1)}{(2q+b_{d-1}+a_{d-1}+1)(2q+b_{d-1}+a_{d-1}+2)}P^{(a,b)}_{\widetilde{0}_{0,\ldots,0,n_{d-1},n_d}}\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the above argument until to obtain

$$(a^+_1)^{n_1}\ldots(a^+_d)^{n_d}\Phi=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\prod_{p=0}^{n_i-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2p+b_i+a_i+2)}P^{(a,b)}_{\overline{n}}\qquad(35)$$

where $\overline{n}=(n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_d)$.

(i) If $\overline{i}_n=\overline{j}_n$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\overline{i}_n,\overline{j}_n} &= \langle (a^+_1)^{m_1}(a^+_2)^{m_2}\ldots(a^+_d)^{m_d}\Phi,(a^+_1)^{n_1}(a^+_2)^{n_2}\ldots(a^+_d)^{n_d}\Phi\rangle_\mu.\\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2p+b_i+a_i+2)}\Big)^2\|P^{(a,b)}_{\overline{n}}\|^2\\ &= \frac{2^{|a|+|b|+d}}{\overline{n}!}\prod_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i-1}\frac{2(p+1)(b_i+a_i+p+1)}{(2p+b_i+a_i+1)(2p+b_i+a_i+2)}\Big)^2\\ &\quad\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\Gamma(n_j+a_j+1)\Gamma(n_j+b_j+1)}{(2n_j+b_j+a_j+1)\Gamma(n_j+b_j+a_j+1)}\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\overline{i}_n \neq \overline{j}_n$, then $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or there exists $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ such that $m_l \neq n_l$.

- First case : if $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \neq \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$, then there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $l \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$ and $l \notin \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\}$ or the converse. Without loss of generality suppose that $l = 1$ i.e. $m_1 \neq 0$ and $n_1 = 0$. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} &= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{p=0}^{m_i - 1} \frac{2(p+1)(b_i + a_i + p + 1)}{(2p + b_i + a_i + 1)(2p + b_i + a_i + 2)} \\
&\quad \prod_{i=2}^{d} \prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{2(p+1)(b_i + a_i + p + 1)}{(2p + b_i + a_i + 1)(2p + b_i + a_i + 2)} \langle P_{\overline{m}}^{(a,b)}, P_{\overline{n}}^{(a,b)} \rangle \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

because $P_{\overline{m}}^{(a,b)}$ and $P_{\overline{n}}^{(a,b)}$ are orthogonal ($\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$).

- Second case : if there exists $l \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such $m_l \neq n_l$ *i.e.* $\overline{m} \neq \overline{n}$, then, one gets

$$\langle P_{\overline{m}}^{(a,b)}, P_{\overline{n}}^{(a,b)} \rangle_{\mu} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} = 0.$$

Now, let $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_d)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Recall that

$$U_n e_{\overline{i}_n} := a_{i_1}^{+} a_{i_2}^{+} \ldots a_{i_d}^{+} \Phi.$$

Then, from identities (32) and (35), it follows that for all $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{e_l|n} e_{\overline{i}_n} &:= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^{0} U_n e_{\overline{i}_n} \\
&= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^{0} a_{i_1}^{+} a_{i_2}^{+} \ldots a_{i_d}^{+} \Phi \\
&= U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^{0} (a_1^{+})^{n_1} \ldots (a_d^{+})^{n_d} \Phi \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{2(p+1)(b_i + a_i + p + 1)}{(2p + b_i + a_i + 1)(2p + b_i + a_i + 2)} U_n^{-1} a_{l|n}^{0} P_{\overline{n}}^{(a,b)} \\
&= - \prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{2(p+1)(b_i + a_i + p + 1)}{(2p + b_i + a_i + 1)(2p + b_i + a_i + 2)} \\
&\quad \frac{(a_l^2 - b_l^2)}{(2n_l + b_l + a_l)(2n_l + b_l + a_l + 2)} U_n^{-1} P_{\overline{n}}^{(a,b)} \\
&= - \frac{(a_l^2 - b_l^2)}{(2n_l + b_l + a_l)(2n_l + b_l + a_l + 2)} U_n^{-1} (a_1^{+})^{n_1} \ldots (a_d^{+})^{n_d} \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{(a_l^2-b_l^2)}{(2n_l+b_l+a_l)(2n_l+b_l+a_l+2)} U_n^{-1} a_{i_1}^+ a_{i_2}^+ \dots a_{i_d}^+ \Phi$$
$$= -\frac{(a_l^2-b_l^2)}{(2n_l+b_l+a_l)(2n_l+b_l+a_l+2)} e_{\overline{i}_n}$$

where $n_j = \sharp\{i_p = j;\ \ p = 1,2,\dots,d\}, \ \ 1 \le j \le d.$

□

6.1 Multiple Gegenbauer polynomials on the cube

The multiple Gegenbauer polynomials on the cube with parameter $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$ such that $\lambda_i > -\frac{1}{2}$ are a particular case of the multiple Jacobi polynomials with parameter
$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d),\ b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d)$ when $a_i = b_i = \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$.

Theorem 6.3 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, \dots, i_n)\Big), \overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, \dots, j_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have*

$$\alpha_{.|n} \equiv 0$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} = \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \frac{2^{2|\lambda|}}{\overline{n}!} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{(p+1)(2\lambda_i+p)}{(p+\lambda_i)(2p+2\lambda_i+1)} \Big)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\Big[\Gamma(n_j+\lambda_j+\frac{1}{2})\Big]^2}{(2n_j+2\lambda_j)\Gamma(n_j+2\lambda_j)} \tag{36}$$

where

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \dots, n\} \Big), (1 \le l \le d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$$

with the convention

$$\prod_{p=0}^{-1} \frac{(p+1)(2\lambda_i+p)}{(p+\lambda_i)(2p+2\lambda_i+1)} = 1$$

(this convention is used when $n_i = 0$).

Proof It is sufficient to take $a_i = b_i = \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2}, \ \ i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ in (34). □

6.2 Multiple Chebyshev polynomials on the cube

The multiple Chebyshev polynomials of first Kind (resp. second Kind) on the cube are a particular case of the multiple Gegenbauer polynomials on the cube with parameter $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ when $\lambda_i = 0 \ \ (resp. \ \ \lambda_i = 1), \ \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, d.$

Theorem 6.4 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, \ldots, i_n)\Big), \overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, \ldots, j_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have*

i) If the Jacobi sequences associated to the multiple Chebyshev polynomials of first kind, then

$$\alpha_{.|n} \equiv 0$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n, in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \ = \ \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \frac{1}{\overline{n}!} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{(p+1)}{(2p+1)} \Big)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\Big[\Gamma(n_j + \frac{1}{2})\Big]^2}{2n_j \Gamma(n_j)}$$

ii) If the Jacobi sequences associated to the multiple Chebyshev polynomials of second kind, then

$$\alpha_{.|n} \equiv 0$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \ = \ \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \frac{2^{2d}}{\overline{n}!} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{(p+2)}{(2p+3)} \Big)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\Big[\Gamma(n_j + \frac{3}{2})\Big]^2}{(2n_j + 2)\Gamma(n_j + 2)}$$

where

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \Big), (1 \leq l \leq d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d)$$

with the convention

$$\prod_{p=0}^{-1} \frac{(p+1)}{(2p+1)} = 1 \ and \ \prod_{p=0}^{-1} \frac{(p+2)}{(2p+3)} = 1$$

(this convention is used when $n_i = 0$).

Proof It is sufficient to take $\lambda_i = 0$ resp. $\lambda_i = 1, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ in (36). □

6.3 Multiple Legendre polynomials on the cube

The multiple Legendre polynomials on the cube are a particular case of the multiple Gegenbauer polynomials on the cube with parameter $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ when $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, d.$

Theorem 6.5 *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{i}_n = cl\Big((i_1, \ldots, i_n)\Big), \overline{j}_n = cl\Big((j_1, \ldots, j_n)\Big) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, we have*

$$\alpha_{.|n} \equiv 0$$

and the coefficients of Ω_n in the basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_{\overline{i}_n})_{\overline{i}_n \in \mathcal{A}_n}$ are given by

$$\lambda_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \quad = \quad \delta_{\overline{i}_n, \overline{j}_n} \frac{2^d}{\overline{n}!} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Big(\prod_{p=0}^{n_i - 1} \frac{(p+1)^2}{(2p+1)} \Big)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\Big[\Gamma(n_j + 1)\Big]}{(2n_j + 1)}$$

where

$$n_l = \sharp\Big(\{i_k = l, k = 1, \ldots, n\} \Big), (1 \leq l \leq d), \overline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d)$$

with the convention

$$\prod_{p=0}^{-1} \frac{(p+1)}{(2p+1)} = 1$$

(this convention is used when $n_i = 0$).

Proof It is sufficient to take $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$ in (36). □

References

[1] L. Accardi, A. Barhoumi and A. Dhahri, *Identification of the theory of multi-dimensional orthogonal polynomials with the theory of symmetric interacting Fock spaces with finite dimensional 1-particle space*, submitted.

[2] L. Accardi and M. Bożejko, *Interacting Fock space and Gaussianization of probability measures*, Infin. Dim. Anal. Quantum Probab. Rel. Topics 1 (1998), 663-670.

[3] Accardi, L., Kuo, H.-H., Stan, A.I., *Characterization of probability measures through the canonically associated interacting Fock spaces*. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 7 (4) (2004), 485505.

[4] Accardi, L., Kuo, H.-H., Stan, A.I., *Moments and commutators of probability measures*. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 10 (4) (2007), 591612.

[5] L. Accardi and Y. G. Lu, *The Wigner semicircle law in quantum electro dynamics*, Comm. Math. Phys. 180 (1996), 605-632, Volterra preprint, No. 126 (1992).

[6] L. Accardi and M. Nahni, *Interacting Fock space and orthogonal polynomials in several variables*. The Crossroad of Non-commutativity, Infinite-Dimensionality, Obata, Hora, Matsui (eds) World Scientific (2002), 192-205.

[7] Ab. Dhahri, Am. Dhahri, *On the multi-dimensional Favard Lemma* , submitted.

[8] Chihara, T.S., *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Gordon & Breach, New York (1978).

[9] C.F. Dunkl and Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials of several variables*, American Mathematical Society 49 (300) (1984), 1-114.

[10] M. Ismail and R. Askey (Eds), *Recurrence relations, continued fractions andorthogonal polynomials*. Test 5(1) (1996), 77111.

[11] M.A Kowalski, *The recursion formulas for polynomials in n variables*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982), 309-315.

[12] M.A Kowalski, *Orthogonality and recursion formulas for polynomials in n variables*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982), 316-323.

[13] Szegö, M., *Orthogonal Polynomials*. Coll. Publ., vol. 23. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1975).

[14] Y. Xu, *On the orthogonal Polynomials in sevral variables*. Fields Instute Communications 14 (1997), 247-270.

[15] C. F. Dunkl, Y. Xu, Orthogonal polynomials of several variables, *cambridge University Press 2001.*